# BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation	)	
Against:	)	
	)	
	)	
Shannon K. Smith, M.D.	)	Case No. 800-2017-034308
	)	
Physician's and Surgeon's	)	
Certificate No. G 57481	)	
	)	
Respondent	)	,
·	)	

# **DECISION**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 7, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED: May 8, 2019.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Kristina D. Lawson, J.D., Chair

Panel B

1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California STEVE DIEHL	•	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SARAH J. JACOBS		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 255899		
5	California Department of Justice 2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090		
6	Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 705-2312		
7	Facsimile: (559) 445-5106 Attorneys for Complainant	·	
8	11ornoysjor Comptantant		
9	BEFOR		
10	MEDICAL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF C		
11	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA	
12		• •	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Care No. 200 2017 024200	
	_	Case No. 800-2017-034308	
14	SHANNON K. SMITH, M.D. Kaiser Permanente	OAH No. 2019010172	
15	P.O. Box 12099 Bakersfield, CA 93301	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
16 17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 57481		
18	Respondent.		
19			
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-	
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are	e true:	
22	<u>PARTIES</u>		
23	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board		
24	of California (Board). She brought this action sol	ely in her official capacity and is represented in	
25	this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General c	of the State of California, by Sarah J. Jacobs,	
26	Deputy Attorney General.		
27	'//		
28	// · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
İ		ı	

- Respondent Shannon K. Smith, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Gary Wittenberg, whose address is: 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1750,
   Los Angeles, CA 90067
- 3. On or about June 16, 1986, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 57481 to Shannon K. Smith, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2017-034308, and will expire on February 29, 2020, unless renewed.

## **JURISDICTION**

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2017-034308 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on September 27, 2018. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2017-034308 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

## **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2017-034308. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

# **CULPABILITY**

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 800-2017-034308.
- 10. Respondent agrees that her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

## **CONTINGENCY**

- Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 57481 issued to Respondent Shannon K. Smith, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. ALCOHOL AND CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - ABSTAIN FROM USE.

Respondent shall abstain completely from the use of products or beverages containing alcohol and abstain from the use of controlled substances.

2. <u>CLINICAL DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATIONS AND REPORTS</u>: Within thirty (30) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on whatever periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Board or its designee, Respondent shall undergo and complete a clinical diagnostic evaluation, including any and all testing deemed necessary, by a Board-appointed board certified physician and surgeon. The examiner shall consider any information provided by the Board or its designee and any other information he or she deems relevant, and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee.

The clinical diagnostic evaluation shall be conducted by a licensed physician and surgeon who holds a valid, unrestricted license, has three (3) years' experience in providing evaluations of physicians and surgeons with substance abuse disorders, and is approved by the Board or its designee. The clinical diagnostic evaluation shall be conducted in accordance with acceptable professional standards for conducting substance abuse clinical diagnostic evaluations. The evaluator shall not have a current or former financial, personal, or business relationship with Respondent within the last five (5) years. The evaluator shall provide an objective, unbiased, and independent evaluation. The clinical diagnostic evaluation report shall set forth, in the evaluator's opinion, whether Respondent has a substance abuse problem, whether Respondent is a threat to herself or others, and recommendations for substance abuse treatment, practice restrictions, or other recommendations related to Respondent's rehabilitation and ability to practice safely. If the evaluator determines during the evaluation process that Respondent is a threat to herself or others, the evaluator shall notify the Board within twenty-four (24) hours of such a determination.

In formulating his or her opinion as to whether Respondent is safe to return to either parttime or full-time practice and what restrictions or recommendations should be imposed, including participation in an inpatient or outpatient treatment program, the evaluator shall consider the following factors: Respondent's license type; Respondent's history; Respondent's documented length of sobriety (i.e., length of time that has elapsed since Respondent's last substance use);

Respondent's scope and pattern of substance abuse; Respondent's treatment history, medical history and current medical condition; the nature, duration and severity of Respondent's substance abuse problem or problems; and whether Respondent is a threat to herself or the public.

For all clinical diagnostic evaluations, a final written report shall be provided to the Board no later than ten (10) days from the date the evaluator is assigned the matter. If the evaluator requests additional information or time to complete the evaluation and report, an extension may be granted, but shall not exceed thirty (30) days from the date the evaluator was originally assigned the matter.

The Board shall review the clinical diagnostic evaluation report within five (5) business days of receipt to determine whether Respondent is safe to return to either part-time or full-time practice and what restrictions or recommendations shall be imposed on Respondent based on the recommendations made by the evaluator. Respondent shall not be returned to practice until she has at least thirty (30) days of negative biological fluid tests or biological fluid tests indicating that she has not used, consumed, ingested, or administered to herself a prohibited substance, as defined in section 1361.51, subdivision (e), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations.

Clinical diagnostic evaluations conducted prior to the effective date of this Decision shall not be accepted towards the fulfillment of this requirement. The cost of the clinical diagnostic evaluation, including any and all testing deemed necessary by the examiner, the Board or its designee, shall be borne by the licensee.

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine until notified by the Board or its designee that she is fit to practice medicine safely. The period of time that Respondent is not practicing medicine shall not be counted toward completion of the term of probation. Respondent shall undergo biological fluid testing as required in this Decision at least two (2) times per week while awaiting the notification from the Board if she is fit to practice medicine safely.

Respondent shall comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by the examiner conducting the clinical diagnostic evaluation within fifteen (15) calendar days after being notified by the Board or its designee.

 3. NOTICE OF EMPLOYER OR SUPERVISOR INFORMATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall provide to the Board the names, physical addresses, mailing addresses, and telephone numbers of any and all employers and supervisors. Respondent shall also provide specific, written consent for the Board, Respondent's worksite monitor, and Respondent's employers and supervisors to communicate regarding-Respondent's work status, performance, and monitoring.

For purposes of this section, "supervisors" shall include the Chief of Staff and Health or Well Being Committee Chair, or equivalent, if applicable, when the Respondent has medical staff privileges.

4. <u>BIOLOGICAL FLUID TESTING</u>. Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at Respondent's expense, upon request of the Board or its designee. "Biological fluid testing" may include, but is not limited to, urine, blood, breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or similar drug screening approved by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall make daily contact with the Board or its designee to determine whether biological fluid testing is required. Respondent shall be tested on the date of the notification as directed by the Board or its designee. The Board may order a Respondent to undergo a biological fluid test on any day, at any time, including weekends and holidays. Except when testing on a specific date as ordered by the Board or its designee, the scheduling of biological fluid testing shall be done on a random basis. The cost of biological fluid testing shall be borne by the Respondent.

During the first year of probation, Respondent shall be subject to 52 to 104 random tests. During the second year of probation and for the duration of the probationary term, up to five (5) years, Respondent shall be subject to 36 to 104 random tests per year. Only if there has been no positive biological fluid tests in the previous five (5) consecutive years of probation, may testing be reduced to one (1) time per month. Nothing precludes the Board from increasing the number of random tests to the first-year level of frequency for any reason.

Prior to practicing medicine, Respondent shall contract with a laboratory or service, approved in advance by the Board or its designee, that will conduct random, unannounced, observed, biological fluid testing and meets all of the following standards:

- (a) Its specimen collectors are either certified by the Drug and Alcohol Testing Industry Association or have completed the training required to serve as a collector for the United States Department of Transportation.
- (b) Its specimen collectors conform to the current United States Department of Transportation Specimen Collection Guidelines.
- (c) Its testing locations comply with the Urine Specimen Collection Guidelines published by the United States Department of Transportation without regard to the type of test administered.
- (d) Its specimen collectors observe the collection of testing specimens.
- (e) Its laboratories are certified and accredited by the United States Department of Health and Human Services.
- (f) Its testing locations shall submit a specimen to a laboratory within one (1) business day of receipt and all specimens collected shall be handled pursuant to chain of custody procedures. The laboratory shall process and analyze the specimens and provide legally defensible test results to the Board within seven (7) business days of receipt of the specimen. The Board will be notified of non-negative results within one (1) business day and will be notified of negative test results within seven (7) business days.
- (g) Its testing locations possess all the materials, equipment, and technical expertise necessary in order to test Respondent on any day of the week.
- (h) Its testing locations are able to scientifically test for urine, blood, and hair specimens for the detection of alcohol and illegal and controlled substances.
- (i) It maintains testing sites located throughout California.
- (j) It maintains an automated 24-hour toll-free telephone system and/or a secure on-line computer database that allows the Respondent to check in daily for testing.
- (k) It maintains a secure, HIPAA-compliant website or computer system that allows staff access to drug test results and compliance reporting information that is available 24 hours a day.
- (l) It employs or contracts with toxicologists that are licensed physicians and have

knowledge of substance abuse disorders and the appropriate medical training to interpret and evaluate laboratory biological fluid test results, medical histories, and any other information relevant to biomedical information.

(m) It will not consider a toxicology screen to be negative if a positive result is obtained while practicing, even if the Respondent holds a valid prescription for the substance.

Prior to changing testing locations for any reason, including during vacation or other travel, alternative testing locations must be approved by the Board and meet the requirements above.

The contract shall require that the laboratory directly notify the Board or its designee of non-negative results within one (1) business day and negative test results within seven (7) business days of the results becoming available. Respondent shall maintain this laboratory or service contract during the period of probation.

A certified copy of any laboratory test result may be received in evidence in any proceedings between the Board and Respondent.

If a biological fluid test result indicates Respondent has used, consumed, ingested, or administered to herself a prohibited substance, the Board shall order Respondent to cease practice and instruct Respondent to leave any place of work where Respondent is practicing medicine or providing medical services. The Board shall immediately notify all of Respondent's employers, supervisors and work monitors, if any, that Respondent may not practice medicine or provide medical services while the cease-practice order is in effect.

A biological fluid test will not be considered negative if a positive result is obtained while practicing, even if the practitioner holds a valid prescription for the substance. If no prohibited substance use exists, the Board shall lift the cease-practice order within one (1) business day.

After the issuance of a cease-practice order, the Board shall determine whether the positive biological fluid test is in fact evidence of prohibited substance use by consulting with the specimen collector and the laboratory, communicating with the licensee, his or her treating physician(s), other health care provider, or group facilitator, as applicable.

For purposes of this condition, the terms "biological fluid testing" and "testing" mean the acquisition and chemical analysis of a Respondent's urine, blood, breath, or hair.

For purposes of this condition, the term "prohibited substance" means an illegal drug, a lawful drug not prescribed or ordered by an appropriately licensed health care provider for use by Respondent and approved by the Board, alcohol, or any other substance the Respondent has been instructed by the Board not to use, consume, ingest, or administer to herself.

If the Board confirms that a positive biological fluid test is evidence of use of a prohibited substance, Respondent has committed a major violation, as defined in section 1361.52(a), and the Board shall impose any or all of the consequences set forth in section 1361.52(b), in addition to any other terms or conditions the Board determines are necessary for public protection or to enhance Respondent's rehabilitation.

5. <u>SUBSTANCE ABUSE SUPPORT GROUP MEETINGS</u>. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee, for its prior approval, the name of a substance abuse support group which she shall attend for the duration of probation. Respondent shall attend substance abuse support group meetings at least once per week, or as ordered by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall pay all substance abuse support group meeting costs.

The facilitator of the substance abuse support group meeting shall have a minimum of three (3) years experience in the treatment and rehabilitation of substance abuse, and shall be licensed or certified by the state or nationally certified organizations. The facilitator shall not have a current or former financial, personal, or business relationship with Respondent within the last five (5) years. Respondent's previous participation in a substance abuse group support meeting led by the same facilitator does not constitute a prohibited current or former financial, personal, or business relationship.

The facilitator shall provide a signed document to the Board or its designee showing Respondent's name, the group name, the date and location of the meeting, Respondent's attendance, and Respondent's level of participation and progress. The facilitator shall report any unexcused absence by Respondent from any substance abuse support group meeting to the Board, or its designee, within twenty-four (24) hours of the unexcused absence.

6. WORKSITE MONITOR FOR SUBSTANCE-ABUSING LICENSEE. Within thirty

(30) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a worksite monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physician and surgeon, other licensed health care professional if no physician and surgeon is available, or, as approved by the Board or its designee, a person in a position of authority who is capable of monitoring the Respondent at work.

The worksite monitor shall not have a current or former financial, personal, or familial relationship with Respondent, or any other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render impartial and unbiased reports to the Board or its designee. If it is impractical for anyone but Respondent's employer to serve as the worksite monitor, this requirement may be waived by the Board or its designee, however, under no circumstances shall Respondent's worksite monitor be an employee or supervisee of the licensee.

The worksite monitor shall have an active unrestricted license with no disciplinary action within the last five (5) years, and shall sign an affirmation that he or she has reviewed the terms and conditions of Respondent's disciplinary order and agrees to monitor Respondent as set forth by the Board or its designee.

Respondent shall pay all worksite monitoring costs.

The worksite monitor shall have face-to-face contact with Respondent in the work environment on as frequent a basis as determined by the Board or its designee, but not less than once per week; interview other staff in the office regarding Respondent's behavior, if requested by the Board or its designee; and review Respondent's work attendance.

The worksite monitor shall verbally report any suspected substance abuse to the Board and Respondent's employer or supervisor within one (1) business day of occurrence. If the suspected substance abuse does not occur during the Board's normal business hours, the verbal report shall be made to the Board or its designee within one (1) hour of the next business day. A written report that includes the date, time, and location of the suspected abuse; Respondent's actions; and any other information deemed important by the worksite monitor shall be submitted to the Board or its designee within 48 hours of the occurrence.

The worksite monitor shall complete and submit a written report monthly or as directed by

the Board or its designee which shall include the following: (1) Respondent's name and Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate number; (2) the worksite monitor's name and signature; (3) the worksite monitor's license number, if applicable; (4) the location or location(s) of the worksite; (5) the dates Respondent had face-to-face contact with the worksite monitor; (6) the names of worksite staff interviewed, if applicable; (7) a report of Respondent's work attendance; (8) any change in Respondent's behavior and/or personal habits; and (9) any indicators that can lead to suspected substance abuse by Respondent. Respondent shall complete any required consent forms and execute agreements with the approved worksite monitor and the Board, or its designee, authorizing the Board, or its designee, and worksite monitor to exchange information.

If the worksite monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within five (5) calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within fifteen (15) calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within sixty (60) calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

- 7. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION CONDITION FOR SUBSTANCE ABUSING</u>
  <u>LICENSEES</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation.
- A. If Respondent commits a major violation of probation as defined by section 1361.52, subdivision (a), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, the Board shall take one or more of the following actions:
- (1) Issue an immediate cease-practice order and order Respondent to undergo a clinical diagnostic evaluation to be conducted in accordance with section 1361.5, subdivision (c)(1), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, at Respondent's expense. The cease-practice order issued by the Board or its designee shall state that Respondent must test negative for at least

a month of continuous biological fluid testing before being allowed to resume practice. For purposes of determining the length of time a Respondent must test negative while undergoing continuous biological fluid testing following issuance of a cease-practice order, a month is defined as thirty calendar (30) days. Respondent may not resume the practice of medicine until notified in writing by the Board or its designee that she may do so.

- (2) Increase the frequency of biological fluid testing.
- (3) Refer Respondent for further disciplinary action, such as suspension, revocation, or other action as determined by the Board or its designee.
- B. If Respondent commits a minor violation of probation as defined by section 1361.52, subdivision (c), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, the Board shall take one or more of the following actions:
  - (1) Issue a cease-practice order;
  - (2) Order practice limitations;
  - (3) Order or increase supervision of Respondent;
  - (4) Order increased documentation;
  - (5) Issue a citation and fine, or a warning letter;
- (6) Order Respondent to undergo a clinical diagnostic evaluation to be conducted in accordance with section 1361.5, subdivision (c)(1), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, at Respondent's expense;
  - (7) Take any other action as determined by the Board or its designee.
- C. Nothing in this Decision shall be considered a limitation on the Board's authority to revoke Respondent's probation if she has violated any term or condition of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
  - 8. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the

Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 9. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS AND ADVANCED PRACTICE</u>

  <u>NURSES.</u> During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and advanced practice nurses.
- 10. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 11. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

# 12. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

## Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

## Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

## License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

## Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice, Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 13. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 14. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while

on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceed 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Boards's Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a Respondent residing outside of California will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; General Probation Requirements; Quarterly Declarations; Abstain from the Use of Alcohol and/or Controlled Substances; and Biological Fluid Testing.

- 15. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 16. LICENSE SURRENDER. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
  Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
  the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license. The
  Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
  determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and
  reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall
  within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
  designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject
  to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the

# **ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order in the matter of Shannon K. Smith, M.D., is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California. Dated: 4-18-19 Respectfully submitted, XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California STEVE ĎIEHL Supervising Deputy Attorney General SARAH J. JACOBS Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant FR2018102626 95312261.docx

# Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2017-034308

		•		
	AVIER BECERRA	,		
2   S'	ttorney General of California TEVE DEIHL	FILED		
3   M	upervising Deputy Attorney General	STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
4 ∥⋅S1	eputy Attorney General tate Bar No. 236116	SACRAMENTO SE OT - 27 20 18 BY SOLIZE FORSION ANALYST		
5   2:	alifornia Department of Justice 550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090	BI CECIAL TOUSIEST FAITHETON		
6   '	resno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 705-2307			
	Facsimile: (559) 445-5106 E-mail: <u>Michael.Brummel@doj.ca.gov</u>	•		
8 A	ttorneys for Complainant	·		
9	•			
10	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA			
11	DEPARTMENT OF CO	DNSUMER AFFAIRS		
12	STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA		
13	,			
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2017-034308		
'	_			
j	Shannon K. Smith, M.D. Kaiser Permanente	ACCUSATION		
11 -	P.O. Box 12099 Bakersfield, CA 93301			
	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 57481,			
19	Respondent.			
20 -				
21	Complainant alleges:			
22	PART	TIES 4.		
23	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official			
24 c	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer			
25 A	Affairs (Board).			
26	6 2. On or about June 16, 1986, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's			
27	Certificate No. G 57481 to Shannon K. Smith, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and			
28 S	Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect	at all times relevant to the charges brought		
	1			
-	(SHANNON K.	SMITH, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2017-034308		

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.

"(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to

Section 2234 of the Code, states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

"(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.

Section 2236 of the Code states:

"(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act]. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

"(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred."

10.

2.12.22.3

8. Section 2239 of the Code states:

"(a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4022, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances referred to in this section, or any combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct.

"(b) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section. The Medical Board may order discipline of the licensee in accordance with Section 2227 or the Medical Board may order the denial of the license when the time for appeal has elapsed or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, complaint, information, or indictment."

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license, certificate or permit pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license, certificate or permit under the Medical Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license, certificate or permit to perform the functions authorized by the license, certificate or permit in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to the following: Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of the Medical Practice Act."

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Violation of the Medical Practice Act)

- 10. Respondent has subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's License No. G 57481 to disciplinary action under section 2227, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (a), in that she violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly the Medical Practice Act. The circumstances are as follows:
- 11. On or about January 25, 2016, Respondent was out at a restaurant drinking with a friend. She returned to his home for several hours, before deciding to return to her own home. Respondent drove her car to the In-N-Out Burger on her way home. While in the drive through line, she collided with the vehicle in front of her. A dispute arose between the drivers and the driver of the other vehicle called the police department.
- 12. On or about January 25, 2016 at 23:30, a police officer reported to the In-N-Out Burger in Bakersfield regarding a disturbance resulting from a non-injury vehicle collision. Officer Robles observed that Respondent's eyes were watery, slightly red, and she was emitting an odor of alcoholic beverages from her breath. Respondent told Officer Robles that she had not had anything at all to drink prior to driving her vehicle. Respondent told officers that she was looking at her phone when the collision occurred. Respondent agreed to participate in a number of field sobriety tests to determine if she was safely able to operate a motor vehicle.
- 13. Respondent performed the horizontal gaze nystagmus, walk and turn, and one leg stand field sobriety tests. During the horizontal gaze nystagmus test, Respondent exhibited a lack of smooth pursuit in both eyes, was positive for distinct and sustained horizontal gaze nystagmus at maximum deviation in both eyes, and had an angle of onset of nystagmus prior to 45 degrees. During the walk and turn test, Respondent was unable to maintain her right foot in front of her left foot in a heel to toe manner during the instructional phase, missed her heel to toe by more than two inches on her first nine steps, and stepped off of the line on the third and seventh step. On the return, Respondent turned without pivoting her foot, missed the heel to toe and stepped out of line on the second through eighth steps. Respondent raised her arms more than six inches from her body for the duration of the test. During the one leg stand test, Respondent stood on her

left foot and lifted her right foot off of the ground. Respondent needed to put her foot down on count thirteen, and began to count again from the beginning. Respondent raised her right arm more than six inches from her body during the duration of the test. Due to Respondent's performance on the field sobriety tests, a police officer asked her to participate in a preliminary alcohol screening breath test. Respondent provided a breath sample for the preliminary alcohol-screening test that indicated a .131% blood alcohol level at 23:50. Respondent elected to provide a blood sample for the evidentiary alcohol screening, which revealed a blood alcohol level of .156% at 00:48 on January 26, 2016.

- 14. On or about February 4, 2016, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Shannon Kay Smith*, Kern County Superior Court Case No. BM878733A, Respondent was charged with misdemeanor violations of Vehicle Code section 23152(a), and 23152(b).
- 15. On or about June 16, 2016, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Shannon Kay Smith*, Kern County Superior Court Case No. BM878733A, Respondent was convicted upon her plea of nolo contendere to a charge of Vehicle Code section 23103.5(a), a misdemeanor, and the remaining counts were dismissed. The Court sentenced Respondent to three (3) years probation and ordered Respondent to pay fines and fees, serve four days in custody, participate in a three-month drug alcohol treatment program, and install an ignition interlock device on her vehicle, not to drive with any measurable amount of alcohol in her blood, submit to chemical testing by any peace officer, and submit to search and seizure while on probation.
- 16. On or about January 19, 2017, at approximately 00:54, a police officer was dispatched to a solo vehicle traffic collision. Upon arrival, the officer observed Respondent's vehicle straddling the center median. Respondent asked, "Do you need me to pull over officer?" Respondent displayed signs and symptoms of being under the influence of alcohol including a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage coming from her breath and person, an unsteady gate and slurred speech. The police officer asked her how much alcohol she had to drink and she responded with slurred speech, "Am I going to jail?" The police officer repeated the question and

28 | ///

Respondent replied, "I already know I'm going to jail." Respondent exited her vehicle, unsteady on her feet, and attempted to walk, with the assistance of the police officer, off of the median and to the side of the street. Respondent failed to raise her feet high enough to step over the center median, tripped, and fell to the ground on her left side. The police officer assisted Respondent to her feet and safely to the curb line on the side of the street. Respondent agreed to participate in a number of field sobriety tests.

- 17. Respondent performed the horizontal gaze nystagmus, and the walk and turn field sobriety tests. During the horizontal gaze nystagmus test, Respondent exhibited a lack of smooth pursuit in both eyes, sustained nystagmus in both eyes at maximum deviation, and an angle of onset of nystagmus prior to 45 degrees in both eyes. During the walk and turn test, Respondent had difficulty maintaining her balance as she was swaying left to right. Respondent fell backwards and was caught by a police officer before hitting the ground. The police concluded the standard field sobriety tests due to concerns for Respondent's safety. Respondent provided a breath sample for the preliminary alcohol-screening test that indicated a .227% blood alcohol level at 01:21. Respondent elected to provide a breath sample for the evidentiary alcohol screening, which revealed a blood alcohol level of .220% at 01:27 and .229% at 01:30.
- 18. Respondent told the officers that she was employed as a physician and gets extremely stressed with her work. She explained that she drinks to calm herself down and deal with her stress. Respondent told the officers that she was drinking at a local bar with friends. After meeting with her friends, she decided to drive herself to a second location known as the Belvedere Lounge. Later that evening, Respondent decided to drive herself home. Respondent stated that she did not remember how she ended up on top of the median.
- 19. On or about February 3, 2017, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Shannon Kay Smith*, Kern County Superior Court Case No. BM899551A, Respondent was charged with misdemeanor violations of Vehicle Code sections 23152(a), 23152(b), and 16028(c).

20. On or about June 9, 2017, in a criminal proceeding entitled People of the State of
California vs. Shannon Kay Smith, Kern County Superior Court Case No. BM899551A,
Respondent was convicted upon her plea of nolo contendere to a charge of Vehicle Code section
23152(b) (driving with a blood alcohol content of .08% or more), a misdemeanor, and the
remaining counts were dismissed. The Court sentenced Respondent to three (3) years probation
and ordered Respondent to pay fines and fees, obey all laws, serve 45 days in jail, attend 30 AA
meetings, participate in a three-month drug alcohol treatment program, and install an ignition
interlock device on her vehicle, not to drive with any measurable amount of alcohol in her blood
submit to chemical testing by any peace officer, and submit to search and seizure while on
probation.

21. On or about May 22, 2018, Respondent participated in a voluntary interview with investigators from the State of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, Health Quality Investigation Unit. Respondent admitted to both incidents of driving under the influence. Respondent admitted that she had never reported either of her two convictions for misdemeanors to the Board. Respondent stated that she was not aware of the requirement to report the arrest and conviction until some time shortly before the interview with investigators. In contrast with the explanation provided to officers at the time of her arrest, Respondent now stated that the cause of her collision resulting her arrest for driving under the influence in 2016 was that she was looking for change in her car.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Conduct Warranting Denial of a Certificate)

22. Respondent has subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's License No. G 57481 to disciplinary action under section 2227, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (f), in that she engaged in action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of a certificate, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 11 through 21, which is hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

27 | ///

28 | ///

17. 

# THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Conviction of a Crime)

23. Respondent has subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's License No. G 57481 to disciplinary action under section 2227, as defined by section 2236, in that she was convicted of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 11 through 21, which is hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

# FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Use of Alcohol Dangerous to Licensee or Others)

24. Respondent has subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's License No. G 57481 to disciplinary action under section 2227, as defined by section 2239, in that she used alcoholic beverages, to the extent or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 11 through 21, which is hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

## FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Failure to Report Misdemeanor Conviction)

25. Respondent has subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's License No. G 57481 to disciplinary action under section 2227, as defined by sections 2234 and 802.1, in that she was convicted of a misdemeanor offense and failed to report the conviction in writing to the Board within 30 days of the conviction, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 11 through 21, which is hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

# **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 57481, issued to Shannon K. Smith, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Shannon K. Smith, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;

(SHANNON K. SMITH, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2017-034308